CASE STUDY Durability Investigation, Service Life Prediction and Life Cycle Cost Analysis of Rehabilitation Options for a Pier Structure

Fong Weng Khiong Ascent Materials Consultants Pte Ltd Singapore

Dr Narasimhan Harikrishna

Specialist, Risk Assessment Bridge, Tunnel and Marine Structures COWI Denmark

Introduction

- Investigation of a 30 year old barge pier structure located in a tropical marine environment
- Structure comprises of a reinforced concrete deck supported by steel Hsection piles driven into the seabed
- Principal defects reported:
 - ➤ spalling and cracking of concrete on under-deck
 - ➤ corrosion on steel piles

View of upper concrete deck



View of upper concrete deck



View of under deck and steel piles



View of under deck and steel piles



Scope of Investigation Work

*Determine

Causes (chlorides? cover? concrete quality?)

> Extent (localized or widespread)

Severity (within or exceeded normally expected)

of observed defects

Recommend appropriate remedial works for rehabilitation of structure

Life-cycle cost estimation was prepared to show the financial commitments over the remaining 30 year life-cycle of the structure using different options

Sequence of Investigation Work

Desktop review of information and preliminary visual inspection

Detailed investigation program

- Field testing dimensional survey, extraction of core samples, concrete cover measurements, half-cell potential measurements, impact echo tests
- >Chemical analysis mix composition and chloride content
- Petrographic examination of concrete core samples

Modeling and service life prediction of time to initiation of corrosion and cracking

Life cycle cost analysis to determine cost effective rehabilitation option

Desktop Review

No drawings for the 30-year barge structure
 Dimensional survey to reproduce the drawings of the structure.

No reinforcement details for the concrete upper deck
 > Breakouts needed to measure the size and number of main reinforcement
 > Concrete cover measured using electronic cover-meter

No information on the concrete used
 Concrete cores needed to collect samples
 Samples tested for chlorides and quality

No information on repairs carried out earlier
 Cracks and spalling recurring on previously repaired areas

Dimensional Survey



Dimensional Survey

SECTION B-B

SCALE: 1:100



SCALE: 1:100

11

Visual Inspection - Defects observed



Cracking and spalling of soffit concrete

Visual Inspection - Defects observed



Cracking of concrete on beams (repaired & un-repaired areas)

Visual Inspection - Defects observed



Corroded flanges on steel piles

Field and Laboratory Testing

Chloride concentration levels on extracted core samples:

➤Concrete cover ranged from 50~70mm

Chloride content measured at 25mm depth increments

➤3 depth increments from the surface

Chloride content measured:

- 0 25mm = 1.5 %
- 25 50mm = 0.9 %
- 50 75mm = 0.5 %

All exceeded the 0.4 % threshold⁽¹⁾ for initiation of corrosion stage causing reinforcement corrosion

Field and Laboratory Testing

Half cell potential measurements in the range of -355 mV to -599 mV – 90% probability of corrosion⁽²⁾ occurring

Criteria for determining the likelihood of corrosion occurring:

Potential Measurement (mV)	Statistical Risk of Corrosion Occurring
ASTM C876-91:1999)	(Suryavanshi and Nayak, 1990)
>-200	10% probability that reinforcing steel corrosion
	is occurring
-200 to -350	50% chance that reinforcing steel corrosion is
	occurring
<-350	90% probability that reinforcing steel corrosion
	is occurring

16

Initiation of corrosion

Modeled as diffusion process using Fick's second law of diffusion⁽³⁾

Using measured values of parameters, time to initiation of corrosion obtained as 8.3 to 11.5 years (T1)

Cracking of Concrete Cover

 Semi-empirical model from literature⁽⁴⁾ was used, based on critical amount of corrosion products needed to
 Fill void spaces around reinforcing steel and

generate sufficient tensile stresses to crack concrete

Using measured values of parameters, time to cracking of concrete obtained as 7.5 to 12.6 years (T2)

Service life of concrete for limit state of initiation of corrosion and cracking of cover concrete was obtained as 15.8 to 24.1 years (T1 + T2)

With age of the structure being 30 years, the structure found to be in active corrosion or propagation stage

Service life prediction provided a reasonable predictive basis for:

>determining stage of deterioration of concrete

➢planning of rehabilitation works



Remedial Recommendations

Rehabilitation works was selected to suitably address defects observed during the investigation

Necessary to repair defects such as spalling concrete

Two long term rehabilitation options proposed:
 Recurring repairs over 30 years remaining life
 use of cathodic protection (CP) system on concrete deck and reactive repairs on steel piles

Remedial Recommendations

Necessary repairs

Treating/augmenting corroded reinforcement

Recurring delaminated/spalled concrete repairs using patch repair method

Repairs of steel piles by welding additional plates

Application of protective coating on steel piles using a high build epoxy resin

Remedial Recommendations

Cathodic Protection (CP) system

Installation of sacrificial anodes on concrete deck at:

- boundary of patch repairs to protect against macro cell corrosion, thereby mitigating corrosion of steel around patch repairs and
- non-repaired areas having highly negative potentials to slow down further corrosion of reinforcement.
- Installation every 10 years

Life Cycle Cost Analysis (30 years)

Options for analysis

- Necessary repairs + cathodic protection (CP) system (Option 1)
- 2. Necessary repairs + future recurring repairs (Option 2)

Life Cycle Cost Analysis (30 years)



Conclusion

Results of durability investigation for a barge structure located in a tropical marine environment presented

Corrosion of reinforcement and spalling of concrete found to be the most significant defects

Service life prediction of the time to initiation of corrosion and cracking of concrete carried out

Conclusion

- Remedial recommendations proposed to address:
 > defects requiring immediate attention and
 > protection of structure from a long term perspective
- A life cycle cost analysis carried out to determine most cost effective long term option
- The use of a cathodic protection system (compared to recurring repairs) seen to be the most economical alternative from a life cycle perspective.

References

- ⁽¹⁾ Chloride-induced corrosion of steel in concrete (GK Glass, NR Buenfeld, 2001)
- ⁽²⁾ Comparison of surface potentials of RC structures using reference electrodes (AK Suryavanshi, BU Nayak, 1991)
- ⁽³⁾ Initiation of corrosion modelled as diffusion process using Fick's second law of diffusion
- ⁽⁴⁾ Cracking of concrete cover. Semi-empirical model from literature (Liu, Weyers, 1996)